A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP

WASHINGTON HARBOUR, SUITE 400 3050 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007

FACSIMILE
(202) 342-8451
www.kelleydrye.com

(202) 342-8400

STEVEN A. AUGUSTINO

DIRECT LINE:(202) 342-8612

EMAIL:saugustino@kelleydrye.com

NEW YORK, NY
CHICAGO, IL
HOUSTON, TX
LOS ANGELES, CA
SAN DIEGO, CA
PARSIPPANY, NJ
STAMFORD, CT
BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

AFFILIATE OFFICE

August 26, 2019

VIA ECFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

Notice of Ex Parte Presentation, XO Communications Services, LLC, Application for Review by XO Communications Services, LLC of Decision of the Wireline Competition Bureau, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, WC Docket No. 06-122

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's Rules, XO Communications Services, LLC ("XOCS")¹ provides notice that on August 22, 2019, its counsel, Steven A. Augustino of Kelley Drye & Warren, LLC, met with Kris Monteith, Wireline Competition Bureau Chief, Trent Harkrader, Deputy Bureau Chief, Jesse Jachman, Bureau Legal Advisor, Ryan Palmer, Telecommunications Access Policy Division Chief; Karen Sprung, Assistant Division Chief; and Claudia Fox, Attorney Advisor (by phone). The following summarizes XOCS' presentation.

Twelve years ago, XOCS provided private line services to its enterprise customers. In determining the jurisdiction of those services, XOCS applied the "Ten Percent Rule" as it understood it, from the Commission's 1989 Separations Order and various statements

After the initial appeal was filed in 2010, XOCS converted its corporate form to a limited liability company ("LLC"). In 2017, Verizon Communications, Inc. acquired the fiber-optic network business of XO Communications, including XOCS. XOCS is now a subsidiary of Verizon Communications.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 26, 2019 Page 2

by the Commission after that order.² For the circuits now relevant, XOCS determined that the services were physically intrastate, were not interconnected by XOCS to any other circuits and lacked any characteristics that suggested the circuits would carry 10% or more interstate traffic. XOCS therefore did not request a customer certification that the traffic was at least 10% interstate and classified the circuits as intrastate for USF and other purposes.

Two years ago, the Wireline Competition Bureau, responding to the petitions of XOCS and five other entities, issued the *Private Line Order* concluding that a customer certification is not required to classify a circuit as interstate and remanding certain questions to USAC for further review.³ In October 2018, USAC asked for circuit-specific information about the services that XOCS provided in 2007 and were the subject of the 2008 USAC audit.

At the meeting, XOCS argued that it is unreasonable to apply the 2017 *Private Line Order*'s standards to XOCS' actions in 2007. XOCS argued that, if the Bureau does not

See, e.g., MTS and WATS Market Structure, Amendment of Part 36 of the Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board, 4 FCC Rcd 1352, 1357, ¶ 32 (1989) (adopting the Ten Percent Rule with a recommendation of the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service that so-called "mixed use" private lines be allocated to the intrastate jurisdiction unless there is a showing "through customer certification that each special access line carries more than a de minimis amount of interstate traffic") (emphasis added); Petition for an Expedited Declaratory Ruling filed by National Association for Information Services, Audio Communications, Inc., and Ryder Communications, Inc., 10 FCC Rcd 4153, 4161, ¶ 17 (1995) (summarizing the Ten Percent Rule and stating that "a subscriber line is deemed to be interstate if the customer certifies that ten percent or more of the calling on that line is interstate.") (emphasis added); GTE Telephone Operating Cos., GTOC Transmittal No. 1148, 13 FCC Red 22466, 22481, n. 95 (1998) (concluding that DSL services were interstate in part because GTE configured its lines to carry more than a de minimis share of interstate traffic and said that it would "ask every ADSL customer to certify that ten percent or more of its traffic is interstate."); MTS and WATS Market Structure, Amendment of Part 36 of the Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board, 16 FCC Rcd 11167, ¶ 2 (2001) (reaffirming the continued use of the Ten Percent Rule for Part 36 jurisdictional separations and stating that "mixed-use lines would be treated as interstate if the customer certifies that more than ten percent of the traffic on those lines consists of interstate calls.") (emphasis added).

In the Matter of XO Communications Services, Inc., Request for Review of Decision of the Universal Service Administrator et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, WC Docket No. 06-122, Order, 32 FCC Rcd 2140 (rel. March 30, 3017).

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 26, 2019 Page 3

overturn its March 30, 2017 *Private Line Order*, as XOCS requested in its May 1, 2017 application for review,⁴ it should follow the precedent of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC" or "Commission") by granting a retroactive waiver or applying the *Order* only prospectively. Waiver or prospective relief are appropriate because of the widespread confusion regarding the private line rule that preceded the Bureau's order.

XOCS explained that at least three times after the 1989 Separations Order, Commission orders described the Ten Percent Rule in ways that created a widespread and reasonable understanding within the industry that carriers need only obtain customer certifications when more than ten percent of traffic on their private lines are interstate.⁵ XOCS highlighted the prior Commission orders that created this reasonable expectation.⁶

XOCS also explained that the Commission has recently granted retroactive waivers to parties in similar situations, based on confusion about application of Commission rules.⁷ XOCS argued that the industry confusion surrounding the Commission's Ten Percent

⁴ XO Communications Services, LLC Application for Review of Decision of the Wireline Competition Bureau, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, WC Docket No. 06-122 (May 1, 2017) ("Application for Review").

See XOCS Letter in Support of Application for Review, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, WC Docket No. 06-122 (filed June 4, 2019) ("Letter").

See Petition for an Expedited Declaratory Ruling filed by National Association for Information Services, Audio Communications, Inc., and Ryder Communications, Inc., 10 FCC Rcd 4153, 4161, ¶ 17 (1995) (summarizing the Ten Percent Rule and stating that "a subscriber line is deemed to be interstate if the customer certifies that ten percent or more of the calling on that line is interstate.") (emphasis added); GTE Telephone Operating Cos., GTOC Transmittal No. 1148, 13 FCC Rcd 22466, 22481, n. 95 (1998) (concluding that DSL services were interstate in part because GTE configured its lines to carry more than a de minimis share of interstate traffic and said that it would "ask every ADSL customer to certify that ten percent or more of its traffic is interstate."); MTS and WATS Market Structure, Amendment of Part 36 of the Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board, 16 FCC Rcd 11167, ¶ 2 (2001) (reaffirming the continued use of the Ten Percent Rule for Part 36 jurisdictional separations and stating that "mixed-use lines would be treated as interstate if the customer certifies that more than ten percent of the traffic on those lines consists of interstate calls.") (emphasis added).

See Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991; Petitions for Waiver and/or Retroactive Waiver of 47 CFR Section 64.1200(a)(2)

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 26, 2019 Page 4

Rule was as widespread or more than in these cases. Therefore, XOCS urged the Bureau to grant a retroactive waiver to XOCS because the Ten Percent Rule could have been reasonably interpreted by the industry to only require certifications when more than ten percent of traffic on their private lines are interstate. In light of this confusion, a retroactive waiver to XOCS of the private line rule as applied to the services it provided in 2007 (ten years before the Bureau's clarification) is the most just and reasonable resolution of this proceeding.

For the reasons discussed above and in XOCS prior submissions, XOCS respectfully requested that the Bureau act promptly to grant a retroactive waiver or prospective relief of the new standards in the Commission's *Private Line Order*. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven A. Augustino

Counsel to XO Communications Services, LLC

cc: FCC personnel above

Regarding the Commission's Prior Express Written Consent Requirement, CG Docket No. 02-278, DA 19-562 (rel. June 13, 2019); Application for Review filed by Anda, Inc.; Petitions for Declaratory Ruling, Waiver, and/or Rulemaking Regarding the Commission's Opt-Out Requirement for Faxes Sent with the Recipient's Prior Express Permission, CG Docket Nos. 02-278 and 05-338, Order, 29 FCC Rcd 13998, 14000 (2014) ("Anda Order"); cf. Request for Review by InterCall, Inc. of Decision of Universal Service Administrator, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 10731, 10731, 10732 (2008) ("InterCall Order").